



PRE-HEARING BRIEF

The South Carolina Public Service Commission ("Commission") should deny any further cost recovery to South Carolina Electric and Gas ("SCE&G") under the South Carolina Base Load Review Act for the following reasons:

 SCE&G is clearly guilty of fraudulent misrepresentation in relation to the V.C. Summer New Nuclear Development project ("NND").

In September of 2016, SCE&G entered into a settlement with various parties regarding capital costs and Guaranteed Substantial Completion Dates. This settlement was approved by the

Commission in November of 2016. In this settlement, SCE&G provided Guaranteed Substantial Completion Dates of August 2019 and August 2020 for Units 2 and 3, respectively. However, based on information that SCE&G had available to it at the time, SCE&G must have known that these dates were utter fiction. It is now public knowledge that SCE&G received the draft version of the Bechtel Project Assessment Report in late 2015 and the final version of the report in early 2016.

In the reports, Bechtel observed that construction was progressing at 0.5 percent per month as of August 2015 and construction was 21% complete (Exhibit 1 - Observation CPC24). At that rate, completion dates before the end of 2020 were clearly unattainable.

SCE&G would no doubt reply that they were aware of the issues in the report and had addressed them. However, after abandoning the project, SCE&G sent a letter to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission asking to withdraw their combined license for V.C. Summer Units 2 and 3 (Exhibit 2). In this letter, SCE&G said that the construction of Units 2 and 3 was less than 40% complete. Clearly the average rate of construction progress between August 2015 and July 2017 (at abandonment) was less than 1 percent per month (19 percent progress over approximately 24 months). Either SCE&G employees lack basic math skills or this was a deliberate misrepresentation of the project's status.

SCE&G's misrepresentations not only did great harm to their customers, but these misrepresentations were also clear violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which prohibits a publicly traded company from providing false and misleading statements to the investing public.

What would motivate such an act of misinformation? At the time of the September 2016 settlement, the Federal Nuclear Production Tax Credit was set to expire at the end of 2020. This

would have had a significant impact on the economics of the NND project and this seems to be the most plausible motive for the deception.

SCE&G was never in compliance with the approved Base Load Review Act cost and construction schedules.

Section 58-33-275 (C) of the SC Base Load Review Act states:

So long as the plant is constructed or being constructed <u>in accordance with the approved</u> <u>schedules, estimates, and projections</u> set forth in Section 58-33-270(B)(1) and 58-33-270(B)(2), as adjusted by the inflation indices set forth in Section 58-33-270(B)(5), the utility must be allowed to recover its capital costs related to the plant through revised rate filings or general rate proceedings.

Even after the 2016 settlement, SCE&G failed to construct Units 2 and 3 within the schedules to which they agreed.

For these reasons I ask the Commission to deny any further cost recovery to SCE&G under the South Carolina Base Load Review Act.

Submitted October 26th, 2018

William T. Dowdey

With To Dandey

Exhibit 1

Page 50 of the Final Bechtel Project Assessment

Report

CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED Provided to Governor Henry McMaster as directed by him pursuant to S.C. Const. Art. IV, sec. 17, and S.C. Code Ann. 1-3-10

🖖 Standard Kurken barurudang Kabum Plato II. 6 - Po gree Haresmout Report

Library A. Mari

Table S-1. Construction and Project Controls Observations and Recommendations			
No.	Description		
CPC24	Diservation(s) The monthly progress report shows construction progress advancing approximately 0.5% per month with a total to date (August 2015) of 21% complete. In order for the plant to complete on schedule monthly construction progress must increase to close to 3%. There are several work faces without prafts rien (examples. Unit 2 turbine building elevated slabs, the Unit 3 containment only had 100 men working and no work in the Unit 3 turbine building (ii). It takes approximately one now before the craftsmen get to their workplace. At both of the coffice breaks and which time the traftsmen leave the work area (esulting in enproductive time leaving and returning to work.)		
	Figuration (a) (Priority 1) The project meads to staff up to work all available work facus (Priority 1) Assign a senior construction person to evaluate methods to have the craftsmen spend more time at the workface (One example move the tool boxes into the building near the work exeal. (Other) Have coffee breaks and function the work exeas.		
CPC 24.	Observations: The Consortum's integrated Project Schedule has 50 mandatory constraints—20 pssociated with time 2.24 associated with time 3, and surject schedule has 50 mandatory constraints—20 pssociated with time 2.24 associated with time 3 and surject schedule of sheets benefit beliefung panels first are forecast for later deliveries from the fabricator, the latest being for Unit 2.149°-6' transition panels currently forecast to be complete 9 months take than the constrained date. The Constraint in stated daning the September 9.2015 presentation that a margation plan is in process for the sheet building panels. There is a constraint on the Unit 2 auxiliary building R251 module that is currently forecasted to complete 6 months later than the constrained date. There is a constraint on the Unit 3 CA01 module ready to sit that is currently forecasted to complete 4 months later than the constrained date. There is a constraint on the Unit 3 CA20 module ready to sit that is currently forecasted to complete a months later than the constrained date. Recommendations: (Priority 1) Remove mandatory constraints, and allow the schedule to move based on the logic Procrate development of mitigation/recovery plans based on their potential impact to the schedule. Only incomposite mitigation plan recovery viso the schedule after it has been fully developed and approved thy at parties.		
CPC26	Observation(s) The baseline forecast was developed based on a performance factor of 1.15. Recent (ast 6 months) performance has been greater than 2.0 on Unit 2, and preater than 1.5 on Unit 3, primarily driven by civil building construction impacts.		
p	Recommendations: (Priority 2) Update the forecast based on recent performance. Reassess manpower needs based on updated forecast.		

Exhibit 2

Letter from SCE&G to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission with Request to Withdraw

Combined License

Jeffrey B. Archie Senior Vice President, SCANA Senior Vice President & CNO, SCE&G



December 27, 2017 NND-17-0503

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station (VCSNS) Units 2 & 3 Combined License (COL) Nos. NPF-93 and NPF-94 Docket Nos. 52-027 & 52-028

Subject: South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) Request for

Withdrawal of VCSNS Unit 2&3 COLs

References: 1. Letter from Jeffrey B. Archie to NRC, V.C. Summer, Units 2 and 3 -

Notification of Termination of Project Construction, dated

August 17, 2017 (ML17229B487)

This letter requests NRC approval to withdraw the COLs for VCSNS Units 2 & 3 in accordance with the Commission's policy statement on deferred and terminated plants (52 Federal Register 38,077). In Reference 1, SCE&G notified the NRC that as of July 31, 2017, SCE&G stopped construction activities on the VCSNS Units 2 and 3 site. In its October 27 letter, SCE&G stated that it would notify the NRC of its plans for disposition of the COLs no later than December 15. Pursuant to further discussion with the NRC, SCE&G stated that it would notify the NRC by the end of December 2017.

The COLs were obtained from the NRC in March of 2012 and construction commenced shortly thereafter. On March 29, 2017, the Company's General Contractor, Westinghouse Electric Company, unexpectedly declared bankruptcy. Subsequently SCE&G and the project's co-owner (the South Carolina Public Service Authority-Santee Cooper) undertook an evaluation of the cost and schedule to complete the units. On July 31, 2017, Santee Cooper made the decision to suspend work on the project and later that day SCE&G made the decision to abandon the project effective immediately.

There is no nuclear fuel or special nuclear material on the site and all Safeguards Information has been removed from the site. Also, in their present state of construction (less than 40% complete), neither of the units can be considered a utilization facility as defined in 10CFR50.2. Neither unit has all the necessary structures, systems or components in place to sustain a controlled nuclear reaction. Currently there are no construction or quality-related activities ongoing at the site, but SCE&G will continue to comply with NRC requirements pending its authorization of withdrawal.

Document Control Desk NND-17-0503 Page 2 of 3

In addition to withdrawal of the COLs, SCE&G requests withdrawal of the License Amendment Requests and associated Exemptions under NRC review, Code Alternative requests under NRC review, and all ITAAC Closure Notifications. SCE&G has irrevocably abandoned its interests in VCSNS Units 2 and 3 project. All of its project completion and preservation activities have ceased. Work is limited to only those actions required to place the site in a safe condition, terminate construction, and close active permits. No further NRC-regulated activities are being performed or planned at VCSNS 2 and 3.

SCE&G has offered to cede its abandoned interest in the VCSNS Units 2 and 3 project to the South Carolina Public Service Authority (Santee Cooper), for no consideration. As of the time of this letter, Santee Cooper has not elected to accept full responsibility for the VCSNS Units 2 and 3 project. If prior to NRC approval of this request to withdraw the COLs Santee Cooper chooses to seek to become the sole licensee for the project, SCE&G will support an application to the NRC to transfer the licenses to Santee Cooper.

The enclosure provides SCE&G's plans for redress of the Unit 2 & 3 site and additional information on site activities. SCE&G is not requesting a specific approval date for withdrawal of the COLs, however, prompt approval will allow for resolution.

This letter contains no regulatory commitments.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (803) 217-5080 or by email at jarchie@scana.com.

Sincerely,

Jeffréy B. Archie

Senior Vice President SCANA

Senior Vice President & CNO SCE&G

JRB/JBA/

Enclosure

Document Control Desk NND-17-0503 Page 3 of 3

c: Billy Gleaves
Jennifer Dixon-Herrity
Shawn Williams
Tomy Nazario
Cathy Haney
Vonna Ordaz
Fred Brown
Jim Reece
Kevin B. Marsh
Jimmy E. Addison
Stephen A. Byrne
W. Keller Kissam
Jeffrey B. Archie

Jim Stuckey
Alvis J. Bynum
Kathryn M. Sutton
Roger Reigner
Justin R. Bouknight
Shirley S. Johnson
Susan E. Jenkins
William M. Cherry
Rhonda M. O'Banion
vcsummer2&3project@westinghouse.com
VCSummerMail@westinghouse.com
DCRM-EDMS@SCANA.COM

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NOS. 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E, & 2017-370-E

IN RE: Friends of the Earth and Sierra Club Complainant/Petitioner v. South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, Defendant/Respondent IN RE: Request of the South Carolina)))	
Office of Regulatory Staff for Rate Relief		75
to SCE&G Rates Pursuant to SC Code	Ś	
Ann. §58-27-920)	
	j (CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
IN RE: Joint Application and Petition of		
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company		
and Dominion Energy, Incorporated for		
Review and Approval of a Proposed		
Business Combination between SCANA		
Corporation and Dominion Energy,)	
Incorporated, as May Be Required, and for		
a Prudency Determination Regarding the)	
Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2)	
& 3 Project and Associated Customer)	•
Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans)	

I certify that the following persons have been served with one (1) copy of the foregoing Pre-Hearing Brief by electronic mail at the addresses set forth below:

Andrew Bateman
Jeffrey M. Nelson
Jenny R. Pittman
Nanette S. Edwards
Office of Regulatory Staff
1401 Main Street, Suite 900
Columbia, SC 29201
abateman@regstaff.sc.gov
jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov
jpittman@regstaff.sc.gov
nsedwar@regstaff.sc.gov

K. Chad Burgess
Matthew W. Gissendanner
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company
220 Operation Way – MC C222
Cayce, SC 29033
chad.burgess@scana.com
matthew.gissendanner@scana.com

Robert Guild 314 Pail Mall Columbia, SC 29201 bguild@mindspring.com

Lynn Teague 3728 Wilmot Avenue Columbia, SC 29205 803-556-9802 TeagueLynn@gmail.com

Stephanie U. Eaton Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500 Winston-Salem, NC 27103 336-631-1062 seaton@spilmanlaw.com

Mitchell Willoughby
Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A.
Post Office Box 8416
Columbia, SC 29202
803-252-3300
mwilloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com

Lara B. Brandfass Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 300 Kanawha Blvd., East Charleston, SC 53501 lbrandfass@spilmanlaw.com

J. David Black Nexsen Pruet, LLC Post Office Drawer 2426 Columbia, SC 29202 803-540-2072 DBlack@nexsenpruet.com

Emily W. Medlyn
U.S. Army Legal Services Agency Regulatory Law
9275 Gunston Road
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
703-614-3918
emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil

Derrick Price Williamson Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Blvd., Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 717-795-2740 dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

Belton T. Zeigler Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP 1221 Main Street, Suite 1600 Columbia, SC 29201 803-454-7720 belton.zeigler@wbd-us.com

Alexander G. Shissias
The Shissias Law Firm, LLC
1727 Hampton Street
Columbia, SC 29201
803-540-3090
alex@shissiaslawfirm.com

Damon E. Xenopoulos Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007 DEX@smxblaw.com Frank Knapp, Jr.
118 East Selwood Lane
Columbia, SC 29212
803-765-2210
fknapp@knappagency.com

James F. Walsh, Jr. 1436 Amelia Street Orangeburg, SC 29116 803-534-6061 jfwwalsh@bellsouth.net

James N. Horwood
Peter J. Hopkins
Stephen C. Pearson
Jessica R. Bell
Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP
1875 Eye Street, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
202-879-4000
james.horwood@spiegelmcd.com
peter.hopkins@spiegelmcd.com
steve.pearson@spiegelmcd.com
jessica.bell@spiegelmcd.com

Joseph K. Reid III
Elaine S. Ryan
Ellen T. Ruff
Robert A. Muckenfuss
McGuire Woods LLP
201 North Tryon Street
Suite 3000
Charlotte, NC 28202
704-343-2000
jreid@mcguirewoods.com
eryan@mcguirewoods.com
eruff@mcguirewoods.com
muckenfuss@mcquirewoods.com

Lisa S. Booth
Tracey A. Huang
Irene Scouras
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
120 Tredegar Street, Riverside 2
Richmond, VA 23233
804-819-2288
lisa.s.booth@dominionenergy.com
tracey.a.huang@dominionenergy.com
irene.scouras@dominionenergy.com

Robert E. Tyson, Jr.
Sowell Gray Robinson Stepp & Laffitte,
LLC
Post Office Box 11449
Columbia, SC 29201
rtyson@sowellgray.com

Michael J. Anzelmo
Chief of Staff and Legal Counsel to the
Speaker
Post Office Box 11867
Columbia, SC 29211
michaelanzelmo@schouse.gov

Frank R. Ellerbe, III
Sowell Gray Robinson Stepp & Laffitte,
LLC
Post Office Box 11449
Columbia, SC 29201
803-227-1112
fellerbe@sowellgray.com

John H. Tiencken, Jr.
Christopher S. McDonald
The Tiencken Law Firm, LLC
234 Seven Farms Drive, Suite 114
Charleston, SC 29492
843-377-8415
jtiencken@tienckenlaw.com
cmcdonald@tienckenlaw.com

Michael N. Couick
Christopher R. Koon
The Electric Cooperatives of South
Carolina, Inc.
808 Knox Abbott Drive
Cayce, SC 29033
803-739-3034
mike.couick@ecsc.org
chris.koon@ecsc.org

Robert D. Cook, Solicitor General
J. Emory Smith, Jr., Deputy Solicitor
General
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211
(803) 734-3680
BCook@scag.gov
ESmith@scag.gov

Susan B. Berkowitz
South Carolina Appleseed Legal Justice
Center
Post Office Box 7187
Columbia, SC 29202
803-779-1113
sberk@scjustice.org

John B. Coffman 871 Tuxedo Blvd. Webster Groves, MO 63119 573-424-6779 john@johncoffman.net

Richard L. Whitt
Timothy F. Rogers
Austin & Rogers, PA
508 Hampton Street, Suite 300
Columbia, SC 29201
803-256-4000
RLWhitt@AustinRogersPA.com
tfrogers@austinrogerspa.com
CASchurg@AustinRogersPA.com

Scott Elliott Elliott & Elliott, P.A. 1508 Lady Street Columbia, SC 29201 803-771-0555 selliott@elliottlaw.us linda@elliottlaw.us

James R. Davis
J. Davis Law Firm
BB&T Plaza, Suite 211B
234 Seven Farms Drive, MB #16
Daniel Island, SC 29492
843-642-8333
jim@jdavispc.com

Michael T. Rose
Mike Rose Law Firm
406 Central Avenue
Summerville, SC 29483
843-871-1821
mike@mikeroselawfirm.com

W. Andrew Gowder, Jr.
Austen & Gowder, LLC
1629 Meeting Street, Suite A
Charleston, SC 29405
843-727-2229
andy@austengowder.com

Dino Teppara
104 Egret Court
Lexington, SC 29072
Dino.Teppara@gmail.com

Allen Mattison Bogan
B. Rush Smith, III
Carmen Harper Thomas
William C. Hubbard
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP
1320 Main Street/17th Floor
Columbia, SC 29201
rush.smith@nelsonmullins.com
matt.bogan@nelsonmullins.com
carmen.thomas@nelsonmullins.com
william.hubbard@nelsonmullins.com

Weston Adams, III
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP
Post Office Box 11070
Columbia, SC 29211
weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com

Camden N. Massingill
Matthew T. Richardson
Wallace K. Lightsey
Wyche Law Firm
801 Gervais Street, Suite B
Columbia, SC 29201
cmassingill@wyche.com
mrichardson@wyche.com
wlightsey@wyche.com

Adam Protheroe P.O. Box 7187 Columbia, SC 29202 adam@scjustice.org

Kevin K. Bell
Sowell Gray Robinson Stepp & Laffitte,
LLC
Post Office Box 11449
Columbia, SC 29201
803-227-1112
kbell@robinsongray.com

This 26th day of October, 2018

William T. Dowdey